# Diagnostic Tools for Swine Diseases





#### Science-driven solutions

### John Deen University of Minnesota



## Messages

- Diagnostic tests rarely as accurate as expected
- Diagnosis of an infectious disease is rarely made with one test
- Good diagnoses need a combination of a broad knowledge about the herd and the pig (s) in question and experience in combining multiple sources of information
- Expertly combining tests with differing sesnsitivities and specificities is important
- There is always a "when you hear hoof beats, think horses, not zebras" challenge
- Diagnosis of freedom from disease even more difficult







Diagnostics: herd examination Look at the history of the pigs - source, location, mortality, culls, previous problems Look at the pigs - activity, condition, breathing, urinating, defecating Look for variation in performance - weight, activity, condition Smell the pigs - eq water deprivation Examine the resources - facilities, feed, water, air



### Are we biased or wise?

- Diagnoses are based on prior knowledge
- Driven by experiences, training and exposure (prevalence)
- Diagnoses best served by two questions:
  - Is it what I think it is the confirmation
  - Is not what I thought it was the contradiction

Wisdom searches for both confirmations and contradictions



### Reliability and Validity







#### Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) Curves

- Plot of sensitivity vs (1specificity)
- 'Trade off' between sensitivity and specificity
- 'Trade off' between true positives and false positives
- 45° line test with no discriminative value





### Sensitivity



<u>Sensitivity</u> positivity in disease

A Negative test that has a High Sensitivity (i.e., almost no false negatives) helps rule out the disease

(TP + FN)



## Specificity



<u>Specificity</u> negativity in no disease

#### TN / (TN+FP)

A Positive Test that has a High Specificity (i.e., almost no false negatives helps rule in the disease

#### (TN + FP)



### Positive & Negative Predictive Value





### Freedom from disease

<u>https://epidemiology.sruc.ac.uk/shiny/apps/onestagesamplesize/</u>





#### Baye's Theorem and the Predictive Value of a Positive Test

- The probability of a test demonstrating a true positive depends not only on the sensitivity and specificity of a test, but also on the prevalence of the disease in the population being studied.
- The chance of a positive test being a true positive is markedly higher in a population with a high prevalence of disease.

Science-driven solutions"

• In contrast, if a very sensitive and specific test is applied to a population with a very low prevalence of disease, most positive tests will actually be false positives.



### Baye's Theorem

#### Prevalence of Positive Predictive Condition (%) Value of a Positive Test (%)\*



\* 95% sensitivity and 95% specificity



## **Diagnostics:** Pathology examination

Condition Skin Necropsy (full or partial) Histopathology of targeted tissues Electron Microscopy

Science-driven solutions











## Histology a dying skill...





## Diagnostics: Targeted Tests

- Microscopic examination
- Culture
- Biochemical tests
- Serological tests
- genetic tests



- Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS)
- Next-generation sequencing (NGS)



## Choice of tests

- Rule-ins
- Rule-outs

Balancing sensitivity and specificity

• There is no way to eliminate subjectivity



## The Challenge of Diagnoses

Choose tests wisely
Recognize biases
Invest in rule-outs
Constantly review strategies

